

**From:** [McInnis, Amanda](#)  
**To:** [Tina Laidlaw/MO/R8/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Cc:** [Clark, Dave](#)  
**Subject:** RE: State of Maine's Nutrient Criteria Discussion  
**Date:** 12/06/2012 08:00 PM

---

I think we'd like whoever can explain how their rules work most effectively. I'm guessing that's Maine and Region 1.

I talked to George today about the biological confirmation things. It sounds like maybe we'll pick a day next week to meet about that.

As an aside, I also spent some time yesterday reading Wisconsin's NR 217. I assume EPA approved those rules? I like the language in 217.18 on Watershed adaptive management and the language in 217.16 about how those rules fit with TMDLs. It seems to me like those got to some of both non-point source issues effectively.

---

**From:** Laidlaw.Tina@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Laidlaw.Tina@epamail.epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 06, 2012 4:25 PM  
**To:** McInnis, Amanda  
**Cc:** Clark, Dave  
**Subject:** Re: State of Maine's Nutrient Criteria Discussion

I'll check with folks and see if they are available. Would you prefer if I just contact Maine and Region 1 directly or do you want EPA HQs on the line as well? Just let me know.

Also, do we have a meeting scheduled with MDEQ re. your bioconfirmation issues? I hadn't seen a followup to George's email.

Tina

Tina Laidlaw  
USEPA Montana Office  
10 West 15th Street, Suite 3200  
Helena, MT 59626  
406-457-5016